

Summary Fair Treatment Assessment (FTA)

| Area for Assessment: | | |
|--|--|---|
| Name of service or function etc | Corporate Warning Marker Pc | olicy |
| Which Service does this affect? | Corporate Performance, Polic | y and Information |
| Is this a new, existing or revised functior | n? Existing | |
| Summary of findings: | | |
| Main conclusions on the likely impact of (protected characteristics): | the function on different equali | ty groups |
| Warning Markers placed on a service users as a means of alerting staff of situations or t dealing with a person. The policy describes | o circumstances that they need to | be aware of wher |
| the Data Protection Act is complied with whe This FTA has identified a need to monitor ce those service users that have Warning Mark being used in a discriminatory way. It has al the setting up of a board made up of key sta markers to ensure that the decision is fair, e | en using Warning Markers. ertain metrics such as ethnicity, ag kers against them to ensure that m so identified a need to amend the akeholders who will scrutinise requ | ge, gender etc. of harkers are not policy to mandate uest to add warning |
| the Data Protection Act is complied with whe This FTA has identified a need to monitor ce those service users that have Warning Mark being used in a discriminatory way. It has al the setting up of a board made up of key sta | en using Warning Markers. ertain metrics such as ethnicity, ag kers against them to ensure that m so identified a need to amend the akeholders who will scrutinise requ | ge, gender etc. of harkers are not policy to mandate uest to add warning |
| the Data Protection Act is complied with whe This FTA has identified a need to monitor ce those service users that have Warning Mark being used in a discriminatory way. It has al the setting up of a board made up of key sta markers to ensure that the decision is fair, e | en using Warning Markers. ertain metrics such as ethnicity, ag kers against them to ensure that m so identified a need to amend the akeholders who will scrutinise requ equitable, non discriminatory and la | ge, gender etc. of harkers are not policy to mandate uest to add warning awful. Pmote equality , |
| the Data Protection Act is complied with whe This FTA has identified a need to monitor ce those service users that have Warning Mark being used in a discriminatory way. It has al the setting up of a board made up of key sta markers to ensure that the decision is fair, e Actions: | en using Warning Markers. ertain metrics such as ethnicity, ag kers against them to ensure that m so identified a need to amend the akeholders who will scrutinise requ equitable, non discriminatory and la | ge, gender etc. of harkers are not policy to mandate uest to add warning awful. Pmote equality , |
| the Data Protection Act is complied with when This FTA has identified a need to monitor ce those service users that have Warning Mark being used in a discriminatory way. It has al the setting up of a board made up of key sta markers to ensure that the decision is fair, e Actions identified to address negative im human rights, cohesive and sustainable | en using Warning Markers. ertain metrics such as ethnicity, ag kers against them to ensure that m so identified a need to amend the akeholders who will scrutinise requ equitable, non discriminatory and la pacts identified or to better pro communities and safeguarding | ge, gender etc. of harkers are not policy to mandate uest to add warning awful. mote equality, issues |