

2<sup>nd</sup> December 2013

Ref: DM/Currentsites/DickensHeath

Policy & Spatial Planning Solihull MBC Council House Manor Square Solihull B91 3QB

Sent by email: psp@solihull.gov.uk

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: Solihull MBC – Community Infrastructure Levy – Response to Consultation on Draft Charging Schedule

Thank you for providing the opportunity for Catesby Estates Limited to comment in respect of the above. We write in the context of our previous representations submitted by Deloitte LLP (letter dated 26th April 2013 by Mathew Jones, attached to this letter) to the CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule, of which many points still remain valid.

We therefore enclose our comments for further consideration and request to be heard by the examiner of the charging schedule accordingly. Please could you keep us updated on when the draft charging schedule has been submitted to the examiner, the publication of their recommendations, and approval of the charging schedule by the charging authority.

If you have any queries then please do not hesitate to contact me.

Regards,

Volure cincoroly

Fergus Thomas BA(hons) MSC MRTPI Senior Planning Manager



## **Consultation Questions:**

 Do you believe that the Council has complied with the requirements set out in Part 11 of the Planning Act 2008 and the Community Infrastructure Regulations (2010) as amended? If not, why not.

No. Please see representations submitted on 26th April 2013; upon a review of the revised evidence these comments still stand. We therefore consider that the requirements set out in Part 11 of the Planning Act 2008 and the CIL Regulations have not been fully complied with.

2. Do you believe the Council's draft charging schedule is supported by background documents containing appropriate available evidence? If not, what additional evidence do you believe is necessary?

No. Please see representations submitted on  $26^{th}$  April 2013; upon a review of the revised evidence these comments still stand.

3. Do you believe that the proposed rates are informed by and consistent with, the evidence on economic viability across the Borough? If not, why not?

No. Please see representations submitted on 26th April 2013; upon a review of the revised evidence these comments still stand.

4. Do you believe that the evidence provided shows that the proposed rates would not threaten delivery of the Local Plan? If not, why not?

No. Please see representations submitted on 26th April 2013; upon a review of the revised evidence these comments still stand.

5. Any other comments?

Please see representations submitted on 26th April 2013.

6. Would you like to make a request to be heard by the Examiner of the Charging Schedule?

Yes.

- 7. Would you like to be notified of any of the following:
  - a. That the draft charging schedule has been submitted to the examiner in accordance with section 212 of the Planning Act 2008?

Yes.

b. The publication of the recommendations of the examiner and the reasons for those recommendations?

Yes.

c. The approval of the charging schedule by the charging authority?

Yes.