

COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY

Email: psp@solihull.gov.uk

RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON PRELIMINARY DRAFT CHARGING SCHEDULE

This form can be used to respond to the consultation the Council is currently undertaking on its Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule. All responses should be made by <u>26th April 2013</u> by sending this form, either by post or email, to the following address:

Post:	Policy & Spatial Planning Solihull MBC Council House Manor Square Solihull B91 3QB		
Your name & a	ddress:		
Name	Erica McDonald		
Organisation	Notcutts Limited		
Address	Cumberland Street		
	Woodbridge		
	Suffolk		
	IP12 4AF		
Telephone no.			
Email address			
lf you are repre	senting another person, their name	& address:	
Name			
Organisation			
Address			
Telephone no.			
Email address			
	L		
Nature of repre	esentations:	Nature of interest:	
	Support	Parish or Town Council	
	Object	Resident	
	Comment X	Developer	

Statutory Consultee	
Other	Χ

Consultation questions

1. Do you believe that the proposed charges are an appropriate balance between funding infrastructure and the potential effects (taken as a whole) of the imposition of CIL on economic viability of development across the Borough? If not why not?

Yes		No	Χ					
All via	All viability analysis has been carried out on the basis of traditional property investment /							
	•	•		sed on the assumption that on completion of development there				
will b	e an	ınvestr	nent s	ale and a developer will retain a required developer's profit.				
Insuff	icient	consid	leratio	n has been given to the effect of this charge on existing				
opera	tional	busine	esses v	vithin the Borough who may have a need to physically expand				
	their existing premises in order to maintain viability and to grow. Business expansion is not necessarily property market dependent and without recognising requirements of existing							
busin	esses,	econor	nic gro	wth will be curbed.				

2. Do you believe there is adequate evidence on infrastructure planning and economic viability to introduce a CIL? If not what additional evidence do you believe is necessary?

Yes	No	Χ

As CBRE acknowledge in their report, the output to their viability testing can only be regarded as a 'high level' guide to scheme viability and thus has severe limitations. Further we have concerns that the focus is very much based on property investment data and envisages development by property developers looking to create development profit. Little thought seems to have been given and insufficient 'testing' has been done, to consider the effects that the charges will have on existing businesses looking to expand existing premises in order to grow and remain viable.

Further whilst a 5% contingency is allowed for in all appraisals no specific allowance has been made for site specific issues. Where brownfield land is being developed inevitably site preparation /remediation costs will be higher and this 'contingency' will inevitably be 'used up'. Due to demolition and site costs it is the brownfield land which is most likely to be at the 'minimum end' of the viability scale. Thus it is our opinion that as drafted, within the use classes where a CIL rate is to be applied, the effect will be to direct development away from brownfield land onto greenfield sites which is contrary to one of the Governments primary aims.

3.	Do you agree with the separate charging zones for residential development and the CIL
	rates based on these zones? If not what changes do you believe are necessary to make
	them appropriate ?

Yes	Χ	No	

Save that my comment in relation to brownfield sites (in whatever location) above should also be addressed.

4. Should there be different residential rates based on the percentage of affordable housing to be provided on the site? If so what should the threshold be?

Yes	Χ	No	

Without making allowance for this the resultant effect will be a reduction in deliverability of affordable housing which should be increased not reduced.

5. Do you agree with the differential rates for the different types of retail development and are the thresholds appropriate? If not what changes do you believe are necessary?

Yes	No	Χ

Notcutts Limited have operated a garden centre within the Borough for over 40 years and the site in its existing format is approaching 30 years old. Competition in the Region has increased significantly in that time and we are conscious of a continuing need to address our infrastructure requirements in order to remain competitive in what is a very tough climate. It is unclear whether our use would be considered as "Other Retail Formats" or "All Other Uses"? If, as a retail garden centre we are categorised along with other comparison retail offers outside the town centre, including retail warehousing, immediately the model is attempting to address a situation where the inputs are not applicable to the viability of the proposals. The result of this will be to constrict the development and growth of an existing operational business and source of employment within the Borough.

This example relates to our individual circumstances however the principle may apply to other 'retail' scenarios which do not fit into either the food store or 'traditional retail warehouse' model and where development for existing operational site expansion which may previously have been marginal (and not hit by \$106) could now be precluded.

The analysis and thresholds are too broad brush.

6. 	-	_			tes for the make the	-	· -	develop	ment ?	If not w	hat char	nges do
	103		140									
7.					ld be a nil tural devel					not list	ed (ie in	cluding
8.	-		eve the I they b		cil should a	llow CIL	paymen	ts to be	e made i	n instalr	nents, a	nd if so
	Partio propo banks requi propo the v	cularly osal wi s will remen osed. A ery lea	where II not be gain f ts. The assumir	ne gain rom the real congressing payr sing of	yments are ed until cone addition ost to the ment of CIL payments flow and f	ompletior nal finar 'develop on com where l	n of devence coster' is the pletion of arge su	elopme ts to d erefore of a dev	nt. In th cover th higher velopme	e mean ese up than the nt is not	time, on front o headling an opti	ly the capital ne CIL on, at

9. Do you believe the Council should offer additional e	xemptions in the circumstances listed
above ?	
Yes X No	
Certainly where an additional S106 is to be put in place combined effect is to render the development unvia the development is not therefore viable to proceed an	ble, there is no gain to either side if
10. Any other comments ?	
Yes X No	
Please refer to covering e mail	
Focus Groups	
Depending on the nature and extent of representations made of Schedule, the Council may makes arrangements for one or more Draft Charging Schedule. If you consider that such groups wou response could indicate the subject areas or issues you believe	re focus groups prior to consulting on the ld be beneficial it would be helpful if your
Do you wish to be invited to any focus groups that may be arra Yes No	nged ?
If so what subject areas or issues should be covered in a focus	group ?
How to address existing operational businesses with a need to which will be caught by this rather general CIL charge and whe applicable. Could size thresholds for existing operational premissions and the contract of the co	re S106 would not previously have been

Thank you for taking time to complete this consultation.

How we will use your personal information: The information you provide will be used by the Council to help prepare the CIL Charging Schedule and will be shared with other employees or agencies (such as the Planning Inspectorate) who may be involved with the process. Additionally, your personal details may be shared with other Solihull MBC departments and partner organisations to ensure our records are kept accurate and to keep you informed of future consultation documents. Please note that the Council is obliged to make representations available for public inspection, this means that with the exception of telephone numbers, email addresses and signatures, your comments and other personal details that you provide will be publicly available for inspection at the Council's principle offices and will also be published on the internet. Should you have any further queries please contact Spatial Planning on 0121 704 6394 or email psp@solihull.gov.uk.