

COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY

Email: psp@solihull.gov.uk

RESPONSE TO CONSULTATION ON PRELIMINARY DRAFT CHARGING SCHEDULE

This form can be used to respond to the consultation the Council is currently undertaking on its Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule. All responses should be made by <u>26th April 2013</u> by sending this form, either by post or email, to the following address:

Post:	Policy & Spatial Planning Solihull MBC Council House Manor Square Solihull B91 3QB			
Your name & a	ddress:			
Name	Kathryn James			
Organisation				
Address	Birmingham			
	B40 1NT			
Telephone no.				
Email address	Kathryn.james@necgroup.co.uk			
If you are repre Name Organisation Address	senting another person, their name & address:			
Telephone no.				
Email address				
Nature of repre	Support Parish or Town Council Object Comment X Developer Statutory Consultee Other	x		
	Stile.			

Consultation questions

1.	Do you believe that the proposed charges are an appropriate balance between funding
	infrastructure and the potential effects (taken as a whole) of the imposition of CIL on
	economic viability of development across the Borough? If not why not?

Yes	No	Х
-----	----	---

The proposed charges structure is too rigid and does not directly reflect the true impact a development has on infrastructure, although it is appreciated S106 and S278 payments may be charged too.

2. Do you believe there is adequate evidence on infrastructure planning and economic viability to introduce a CIL? If not what additional evidence do you believe is necessary?

Yes	No	Х

IDP has some value but does not give estimates for the proposed infrastructure for many of the proposals. Only limited reference has been given to the HS2 effect.

3. Do you agree with the separate charging zones for residential development and the CIL rates based on these zones? If not what changes do you believe are necessary to make them appropriate?

Yes	No	х

Creating different zones for town centres, mature suburbs and rural areas is appropriate. Brownfield sites within towns should have a lower charge than town centres.

4. Should there be different residential rates based on the percentage of affordable housing to be provided on the site? If so what should the threshold be?

Yes	Х	No		
Thres	holds	should	be set	at 10, 25, 50, 75 and 100%.

5. Do you agree with the differential rates for the different types of retail development and are the thresholds appropriate? If not what changes do you believe are necessary?

6. Do you agree with the rates for the other types of development? If not what changes do you think are necessary to make them appropriate?

•		•
Yes	No	х

Different rates are appropriate. However, consideration should be given to how SMBC will deal with, for example, an office development that changes its use to C1 (hotel) after practical completion to avoid a charge. Will this be through the CIL or S106/S275 payments?

ſ		1		1 46.100	ıltural developments) ? If not why not ? ¬
	Yes	Х	No		
8.	-				ncil should allow CIL payments to be made in instalments, and if so
			d they b	oe ?	٦
	Yes Insta	X Iment	No s should	l he ha	ased on occupancy levels as this provides a direct link to the effect
			astructu		isca on occupancy levels as this provides a uncer link to the effect
^	D	مال مال			ah a dalaffa ya daliki a salawa sani kaca isa ka a siya wa salawa sa liska dala ah a wa 2
9.	DO YO	u belle	ve the C	ouncii s	should offer additional exemptions in the circumstances listed above?
	Yes	Х	No]
	Exem	notions	s or at	least	discounts should be provided for developments that create
		-			and a Nicotile Califer II
		-		rtuniti	es in North Solihull.
	empl	oymeı	nt oppo		es in North Solihull. By create a particular type of employment or opportunities that
	Some may	oymei e deve be de	nt oppo lopmer eclared	nts ma econo	ay create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost
	Some may oppo	oymei e deve be de rtunit	nt oppo lopmer eclared y for th	nts ma econo ne Bor	ay create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost rough. Therefore, some defined flexibility should be built in to
	Some may oppo accor	oymer e deve be de rtunite mmod	nt oppo clopmer eclared y for thate	nts ma econo ne Bor	ay create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost
	Some may oppo accor	oymei e deve be de rtunit	nt oppo clopmer eclared y for thate	nts ma econo ne Bor	by create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost rough. Therefore, some defined flexibility should be built in to
	Some may oppo accor	oymer e deve be de rtunite mmod	nt oppo clopmer eclared y for thate	nts ma econo ne Bor	ay create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost rough. Therefore, some defined flexibility should be built in to
10	Some may oppo accor circui	e deve be de rtunit mmod mstan	elopmer eclared y for thate the ces'	econo econo ne Bor ese ci	ay create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost rough. Therefore, some defined flexibility should be built in to
10	Some may oppo according circuit.	e deve be dertunite mmod mstan	elopmer eclared y for thate the ces'	econdese ci	ay create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost rough. Therefore, some defined flexibility should be built in to recumstances rather than a vague reference to 'exceptional
10	Some may oppo according circuit. Any of Yes For Company of State	e deve be dertunite mmod mstan	elopmer eclared y for thate the ces'	econd econd ne Bor ese ci	ay create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost rough. Therefore, some defined flexibility should be built in to ircumstances rather than a vague reference to 'exceptional in existing large developments such as the NEC CIL reductions
10	Some may oppo according circuit. Any of Yes For contact should be	e develous de vertunite e de vertuni	elopmer eclared y for thate the ces'	econde econde ese ci	ay create a particular type of employment or opportunities that omically unviable due to CIL payments that could be a lost rough. Therefore, some defined flexibility should be built in to recumstances rather than a vague reference to 'exceptional in existing large developments such as the NEC CIL reductions able if the development results in additional in the larger

Focus Groups

Depending on the nature and extent of representations made on this Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule, the Council may makes arrangements for one or more focus groups prior to consulting on the Draft Charging Schedule. If you consider that such groups would be beneficial it would be helpful if your response could indicate the subject areas or issues you believe should be covered in a focus group.

Do you wish to	be invite	ed to any focus groups that may be arranged ?		
Yes	Х			
No				
If so what subject areas or issues should be covered in a focus group?				
Developments outside North Solihull that help to generate employment in North Solihull.				

Thank you for taking time to complete this consultation.

How we will use your personal information: The information you provide will be used by the Council to help prepare the CIL Charging Schedule and will be shared with other employees or agencies (such as the Planning Inspectorate) who may be involved with the process. Additionally, your personal details may be shared with other Solihull MBC departments and partner organisations to ensure our records are kept accurate and to keep you informed of future consultation documents. Please note that the Council is obliged to make representations available for public inspection, this means that with the exception of telephone numbers, email addresses and signatures, your comments and other personal details that you provide will be publicly available for inspection at the Council's principle offices and will also be published on the internet. Should you have any further queries please contact Spatial Planning on 0121 704 6394 or email psp@solihull.gov.uk.