Challenges and Choices

Summary of Consultation Responses - May 2009

Solihull Local Development Framework Core Strategy Issues and Options



Preface

At the decision session of the Cabinet Member for Economic Development and Regeneration on 22nd October 2008 the local development framework issues and options paper "Challenges and Choices was approved for public consultation.

We formally consulted on Challenges and Choices throughout December 2008 and January 2009, although consultation and involvement in developing the Core Strategy is ongoing until it is submitted to the Secretary of State.

The Challenges and Choices consultation was the first key milestone in the preparation of the Core Strategy for Solihull. Its purpose was to seek views on the key issues, the vision and objectives for the Core Strategy and options for development.

128 responses were received and these are summarised in this document which the Cabinet Member for Economic Development and Regeneration approved for publication on 26th May 2009.

List of respondents to the Challenges and Choices consultation document

Respondent				
No.	Name	Organisation		
1	John Adams	Drivers Jonas (Manchester)		
2	John Pattinson	WMRegional Assembly		
3	Jane Field	Environment Agency		
4	John Sidebotham	Centro		
5	Mark England	Peacock and Smith		
6	Meghan Lewis	Tetlow King Planning		
0	Meghan Lewis	Tellow King Flamming		
7	Andrew Munton	Bellway		
8	Barry Coltrini	Lend Lease		
9		North Solihull Community		
10	Rohan Torkilson	English Heritage		
11	Mark Sullivan	CPRE Warwickshire Branch		
12	Jonathan Parkhouse	Warwickshire Museum Services		
12	Jonathan Parkhouse	Dorridge & District Residents		
13	lon Changer	Association		
14	Ian Spencer	Freeth Cartwright LLP		
15	Philip Rawle	Freeth Cartwright LLP		
15	Helen Shute			
40	Niels Cos = !!	Fox Strategia Land and Description		
16 17	Nick Small	Fox Strategic Land and Property Ltd		
	Mike Eastwood	Landscape, SMBC		
18	Nigel Gough	Bigwood		
19	Nigel Gough	Bigwood		
20	Philip Brown	Savills		
21	Heather Blakey	Barton Willmore		
22	Heather Blakey	Barton Willmore		
23	Kathryn Ventham	Barton Willmore		
0.4	la di Na di	Douton William and		
24	Kathryn Ventham	Barton Willmore		
25	Kathryn Ventham	Barton Willmore		
00		De de AMEH		
26	Kathryn Ventham	Barton Willmore		
27	Gary Stephens	Warwick District Council		
28	Peter Horridge	Stansgate		
22		Notional Crid		
29	Les Morris	National Grid		
30	0	CABE		
	Sarah Burgess	CABE		
31	A G Inshaw	16 11 11 7 0 11		
32	G D Symes	Kenilworth Town Council		
33	David Rhead	Homes & Communities Agency		
34	John Pettinger	D 1 110 111		
35	Mr C Jagger	Berkswell Society		
36	Ivan Parry			
37	Mr M Turner			
38	Erica McDonald	Notcutts Ltd		
39	Justin Milward	Woodland Trust		
40	K V Potts			
41	Nick Barlow	Packington Estates Enterprises Ltd		
40	B	Balsall Common Village Residents		
42	David Felthouse	Association		
43	S Phillipson	lower -		
44	Geoff White	GW Minerals		
45	TP & E-A Machin			
46	R D Evans			
47	Robert Jays	William Davis Ltd		
48	Prof. John Child			
49	Beryl Starkey			

Deenendent	I			
Respondent	N 1	On want to atten		
No.	Name	Organisation		
50	Anne Byron			
51	David Acton			
52	Caroline Spelman	MP		
53	Paul Cooper			
54	Ben Wrighton	Cushman & Wakefield		
55	K Riensema	Civil Aviation Authority		
56	Amanda Steward	Equality & Human Rights Commission		
57	John Stothard	Network Rail		
58	Lucy Becque	Baker Tilly		
59	Gillian Magee	Community Safety Manager SMBC		
60	Judith Keate			
61	Linda Hancock			
62	Christine Hemming	British Waterways		
63	Lucy Wilson	Drivers Jonas		
64	Philip Woodhams	Philip Woodhams		
<u> </u>	Timp Wedname			
65	Garth Hanlon	Savills		
66	Julie Warwick	Holmes Antill		
67	Shaun Denny	Cemex		
68	Stephen Hill	Birmingham International Airport		
69	Jill Davis	Davis Planning Partnership		
70	Jill Davis	Davis Planning Partnership		
70		·		
	Jill Davis	Davis Planning Partnership		
72	Jill Davis	Davis Planning Partnership		
70		De la Blaccia de Bartacadia		
73	Jill Davis	Davis Planning Partnership		
74	Jill Davis	Davis Planning Partnership		
75	Sheila Wyldebore-Smith	Berkswell Parish Council		
76	Phil Ward	Warwickshire Community Council		
77	Fiona Harrison			
78	Charles Robinson	CDS Development Services		
79	Eva Neale	Warwickshire CC		
80	Carole Picken	Bickenhill PC		
81	Paul Thandi	NEC Group		
82	Samantha Nicholls	Leith Planning		
83	John Sammons	Tyler Parkes		
84	John Sammons	Tyler Parkes		
85	John Sammons	Tyler Parkes		
86	John Acres	Catesby Property Group		
87	John Turvey	Dickens Heath Community Association		
88	Robert Cawte	Keep Shirley Alive Residents Group		
89	Robin Moxon	Goodman		
90	Rachel Best	Rachel Best		
91	Rachel Best	Rachel Best		
92	Joanne Hedgley	Pegasus Planning Group		
93	Nicola Sewell	Indigo		
94	Alasdair Jones	Stoneleigh Planning Partnership		
95	Alasdair Jones	Stoneleigh Planning Partnership		
96	Alasdair Jones	Stoneleigh Planning Partnership		
96		Stoneleigh Planning Partnership		
	Alasdair Jones	Stoneleigh Planning Partnership		
98	Alasdair Jones	Stoneleigh Planning Partnership		

Respondent			
No.	Name	Organisation	
	Alasdair Jones	Stoneleigh Planning Partnership	
	Glen Langham	Turley Associates	
	William Kumar	Turley Associates	
	Brian Jameson	CTC	
	Elaine Williams	Green Party	
	Stephen Holt	Solihull Cycling Steering Group	
-		Marston Green Residents	
105	A R Leight	Association	
	Rachel Bust	The Coal Authority	
107	Karen Rose	GOWM	
108	Zoe Auckland	RPS Planning	
109	Rose Freeman	The Theatres Trust	
	David Thompson	Sunderlands & Thompsons	
	'	·	
111	D A Thompson		
	Richard Cobb		
113	Alan Chadwick	National Express Bus & Coach	
		·	
114	G Fyles	Tarmac Ltd	
115	Kate Anderson	indigo	
116	Fergus Thomas	Barton Willmore	
117	Neil Hansen	Highways Agency	
118	Elizabeth Mellett	Nathaniel Lichfield and Partners	
119	Alan Martin	Councillor SMBC	
	Mark Pearce	Advantage West Midlands	
121	Tim Wade	Bromford Group	
		Community & Economic	
	Katie Judge	Regeneration SMBC	
123	Julie Hall	Meriden Parish Council	
	Ian Roxburgh	Meriden Parish Plan Steering Group	
	Councillor Summerfield	Birmingham City Council	
126	Allison Crofts	Natural England	
		Gemstone Financial Management	
127	Clive Margetts	LTD	
		John Phillps Planning Consultancy	
128	Neil Warner	(JPPC)	

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
1	More on transport issues needed. Should focus on improving public transport on key routes.			Support retaining Green Belt, but some development could be accommodated without damaging openness of Green Belt. Review of Green Belt needed.		Ruling out growth in all villages especially Hockley Heath is overly restrictive. There are opportunities in the higher value parts of the Borough to release land to meet specific housing needs. Respondent is promoting land at School Road, Hockley Heath.
2						The Centres Policy Lead has commented and verified that the
					and 3 would be contrary. There is no specific need for urban extensions in Solihull and this would undermine urban renaissance objectives.	document raises no conformity issues. Any further development at Chelmsley Wood and Shirley will need to be consistent with policy 12B of the WMRSS Phase 2 Revision.
3	o ,	Right Vision. No changes needed.	to comments. None missed but need to include mention of deculverting and watercourse restoration.	Right policy principles. None missed but with regard to carbon emissions, clear targets are needed for site renewables. Protecting & delivering quality in built environment should also include the natural environment.	Preference for the Option which is the most sustainable, allows for public transport, reduces flood risk and allows for climate change.	
4	Additional capacity for bus service access in key local centres is needed now.	Right Vision.		principles. A specific individual policy on 'Accessibility and public transport' is needed.	Combination of all 3 Options is likely to provide the most appropriate development in terms of promoting sustainable developments that are well serviced by public transport. Capacity of existing public transport will need to be assessed under Option 1. Green Belt sites may not be well served by public transport under Option 2. Option 3 needs to ensure that rail services are supported by bus services, especially at Balsall Common where bus services are poor. In favour of growth at Dickens Heath / Whitlocks End station where the location could support intense development.	When preferred option is adopted, ensure that necessary package of improvements and transport infrastructure is put in place. Land should be safeguarded for strategic transport corridors and intensive development should be directed to places already well served by public transport.
5						Take a positive approach to new retail development. The next stage should confirm the hierarchy of retail centres.
6	for small properties and	Right Vision. Should make reference to mix of housing type and tenure.			Need evidence base before choosing an option. Further work needed following completed SHLAA and SHMAA. Should have option including urban extensions and rural exception sites. Concentrating growth in urban areas may not meet housing requirements. Also need Green Belt review.	Core Strategy should include - Affordable housing weight and status; Set district and sub-district housing targets; Local definition of affordable housing; Sequential approach to Brownfield sites should not impede affordable housing delivery; Target sites for affordable housing, including 100% affordable and rural exceptions sites; Recognition of benefits of working with Registered Social Landlords; Flexible approach to S106 Agreements.
7	Development of Green Belt for regeneration and North Solihull Regeneration should be in the key issues.			Policy principle on carbon emissions - Government timetable should be accepted, not shortened. Focus not just on new housing but all new and existing development.	Options 2 and 3 are flawed if assume high densities of the past. North Solihull should be released ahead of urban extension.	Flats are no longer sustainable and this will affect densities and numbers. More land is likely to be needed at lower densities. Sites should be released sequentially: regeneration zone and brownfield, then green field, then urban extensions.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
		town centre should be	Right objectives. More detailed set of objectives underpinning economic assets is missing. New objective for redevelopment of Mell Square and expansion of Touchwood.	Solihull town centre as principle focus for higher order shopping.	Solihull town centre needs to remain the focus for continuing economic, social and community activity, irrespective of spatial scenarios.	Identifies possible land for development in Solihull Town Centre.
9	Mainly right key issues. Affordable activities for youngsters - legally run motor/quad bike park.	Almost the right Vision.	Mainly right objectives. Youngsters need to be allowed to be youngsters. Schools/job centres to encourage community involvement.	Closing North/South divide should be included. Things for youngsters to do. Free swimming for kids.		Children to be allowed to be children. Classroom sizes are too big.
10		Adjust to include mention of historic environment.	Right objectives.	Ensure a principle is to preserve and enhance built heritage, respecting and responding to historic character, rural and urban landscapes, assets and settings. Transport infrastructure should be well designed to minimise environmental impact.		Maintain the Borough's identity by attention to historic environment.
11	More emphasis on protection of Green Belt. Resist second runway at BIA.			Policy Principles not correct. Disagree with principle 'Maintaining an effective Green Belt' as no Green Belt losses are required to support regeneration. Green Belt locations should not be found for gypsies and travellers. Employment policies are not supported.	Option 1 least harmful. Opposed to Options 2 & 3.	
12	environment is an issue which has been missed.	Include reference to the historic and natural environment in the Vision statement. Suggested amendments to 5th bullet point of the Vision.			Option 3 preferred. Least favour Option 2 as likely to affect local distinctiveness.	Lack of reference to the historic environment throughout.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
13	issues. Other issues include threat to Green Belt particularly Knowle/Dorridge; Providing right type of housing; Too much weight on Gypsy and Traveller accommodation.	Partly correct. Vision not practicable - prosperity aspirations could encourage inappropriate development. Elements of the vision are unrealistic because of insolvable problems. The Vision is a worthy aim but will fail if based on impossibility.	increased accessibility on foot/cycle.	Policy Principles not correct. Need to ensure a distinction between Green Belt function and amenity. Need to address lack of houses for downsizing and resist tendency for smaller housing.	Recognition that satellite villages should not be developed.	Major extensions require proper consideration of infrastructure. Need green space considerations. Protect the most at risk Green Belt and protect villages from merging.
14	exploitation of existing energy sources, but should go further. Need balanced approach to housing growth outside Green Belt.	Vision is correct to a degree. More emphasis needed on Solihull as a location rather than within the Region/subregion. Deal more with North/South divide. Balanced approach to housing growth and affordability. Reduce waste generated. Increase recycling. Increase renewable energy sources.	Amend waste objective to "reduce waste and increase recycling". Objectives to: Increase affordable housing; Provide balanced approach to housing growth within Green Belt. Promote renewable energy sources. Reduce waste & increase recycling.	make reference to recycling, waste reduction and encourage materials recovery facility. Within 'Maintaining an effective Green Belt' the distinction should be	Dickens Heath and Balsall common supported.	Proposed growth options would not meet affordable housing needs for Borough. None of the proposed growth options mention waste management & how reduction of waste to landfill coupled with increase in recycling will be managed & delivered. If not addressed will hinder development within Borough.
15		onergy council			Option 1 preferred. Option 3 is not supported as Dickens Heath already has traffic problems and poor public transport links. Would also erode Green Belt.	
16	1 -		Right objectives. Need to include an explicit aim to ensure access to high quality housing for all sections of the community. Need improved availability of housing supply across all tenures, prices, sizes.	None missed. No changes needed.	Option 3 preferred. Option 1 lacks flexibility to deliver housing. Some urban and settlement extensions are supported but respondee also outlines other opportunities e.g. Tidbury Green. Extension to the south of Shirley is not supported, neither is extension to the east of Solihull town centre.	Need for evidence base - SHLAA, assessment of Green Belt before preferences identified; need to allow for emerging sustainability codes which will become mandatory during life of LDF.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
17	safer, green communities, threat to open spaces, green spaces, making the best	No mention of access/ biodiversity/ functioning ecosystems and processes. No mention of adaptation to climate change.	enhancement of river corridors; maximising potential of rural-urban fringe; establishing strategic green links between urban green	greener, safer communities. Add 'protecting and delivering quality in the landscape'. Consider back-land policy, development should create and enhance a distinctive character that relates	The River Cole is of fundamental importance to the region's overall environmental quality. Solihull's countryside should be protected and enhanced, not encroached on and degraded. Option 1 should improve quality of green space. Option 2 could increase traffic on already congested roads. Option 3 development around Marston Green could result in a loss of green space. Need to consider settlements with public transport links and seek to improve them.	
18	housing for elderly and greater affordable	Vision is not right. Needs strong reference to needs like housing for elderly and greater affordable housing.			No Options properly look at the opportunity to round off Knowle / Dorridge. Disregarded before SHLAA and settlement study prepared.	Providing right type of housing is not just affordable extra care housing but also nursing homes by provision of care villages in sustainable locations. Respondent is promoting land at Smiths Lane / Browns Lane.
19	developing in and close	subject to more support	a bolder approach is required.	need for increasing range and type of	None of the Options provide new employment growth to support BIA and Land Rover.	Does not fully consider future employment provision to support BIA and Land Rover. Respondent is promoting land in Old Damson Lane.
20	Key issues do not fully reflect challenges facing the Borough in relation to housing.		Objectives broadly agreed with, but housing	Principles do not convey how policies will address key housing issues of	Option 1 not supported as would not meet housing requirements and would exacerbate affordability. Options 2 and 3 incapable of responding to housing requirements. Urban extension required. Light Hall Farm considered to be the most sustainable extension identified.	Respondent is promoting Light Hall Farm sustainable location for urban extension.
	key issues so suggest grouping.	Vision is supported.	Support objectives A, G, L and M.	Support maintaining effective Green Belt but need to allow flexibility where there are very special circumstances.	Support combination of Options 2 & 3.	Identifies land for possible development at Marsh Lane.
22	Key issues should be grouped.	Vision is supported.	Support housing objectives but fail to consider the issue of a need to provide sufficient housing to meet current household projections.	also to recognise that	Option 1 provides insufficient growth and flexibility. Support Option 2 but scope needs to be widened. Support option 3, ideally combination of Options 2 & 3.	Identifies land for possible development at Warwick Road, Solihull.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
23			address need to provide sufficient housing. Need to meet more local need of communities outside the MUA.	Principle for meeting housing needs should not relate only to affordable housing. Need to consider housing to sustain local services in rural areas. Amendments suggested.	Support combination of options 2 & 3 but need to be flexible to meet housing requirements.	Identifies safeguarded land for possible development at Braggs Farm Lane.
24			address need to provide sufficient housing. Need to ensure affordability gap is not worsened and need to meet needs of communities outside the	not relate only to affordable housing.	Do not support option 1. Support combination of options 2 & 3 but concerned that Dorridge is not included within the Options as land is available.	Identifies land for possible development at Norton Green Lane, Dorridge.
25			address need to provide sufficient housing. Need to address affordability and meet needs of local communities.	. •	Do not support option 1. Support combination of options 2 & 3.	Identifies land for possible development at Griffin Lane, Dickens Heath.
26			address need to provide sufficient housing. Need to address affordability and meet needs of local communities.		Do not support option 1. Support combination of options 2 & 3. Meriden should be a focus for development.	Identifies land for possible development at Leys Lane, Meriden.
27				ouggeolou.		Request that an Option for development to the west of Coventry is not rejected, but considered alongside options for development in Coventry and Warwick around Westward Heath, Tile Hill and Burton Green. Should be explored further in partnership, could make a meaningful contribution towards sustainable growth of Coventry.
	Right key issues identified, but no mention of ensuring that new development contributes towards sustainable development. This should be included in Issue 10.		No comment.	No comment.		Development should be concentrated in North Solihull with limited development to meet local needs elsewhere.
29	Letter of no comment.					No specific comments. Wish to be involved in preparation of DPDs affecting National Grid assets.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
30	No comments but general comments in a letter.					LDFs can secure high-quality development of the right type, in the right place, at the right time. Robust design policies should be included in LDFs and Community Strategy. Officers and Members should champion good design. Treat design as a cross-cutting issue, consider how other policy areas relate to urban design, open space management, architectural quality, roads and highways, social infrastructure and the public realm. Design should reflect understanding of local context, character and aspirations. Include hooks for other design tools and mechanisms.
	stringent applications to ecological and historical situations. Changes are required.	Right Vision, but changes required.	scenario.	Right policy principles. None missed. No changes needed.	Option 1, best of a bad choice. Do not agree with any further incursions into Green Belt creating further urban sprawl.	Overall the plan needs total reappraisal in light of present economic climate. Plan is a conflict between utopia and more building.
	Letter of no comment. See comment.					Request that any consultation responses can accommodate emerging joint HCA/SMBC working on housing growth & residential-led regeneration
	Key issues appear to be comprehensive. "Poor quality links between Borough's green spaces" not clear. Insufficient attention to small villages and settlements.	changes suggested.	settlements need to be	Safeguarded land should be developed before Green Belt.	None of the options conform to RSS housing requirements. Rejected options have been dismissed too lightly. Smaller settlements can take their share of development enabling local services and facilities to be supported. Elements of all 3 Options and some of the rejected Options will be required. Extension at Balsall Common would have negative impacts.	
	Right key issues. Introduce tighter controls of speeding traffic on country roads.		objectives missed. There should be tighter controls on the Birmingham Airport expansion.		Option 1 preferred. No options missed. Need to maintain the Green Belt, especially the Meriden Gap.	All local parties should be consulted in decision making and development process.
36					Option 1 preferred. Object to extension to Balsall Common	Concerns about development in Green Belt and around Balsall Common village.
37					Missed Option would be to provide infrastructure in small villages to help spread the load of development.	The 3 choices seem to emphasise intensive developments in North Solihull & Shirley whichever choice is made. Shirley is overdeveloped now and concern about loss of open space.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
38	Need to maintain strong ecomonic base & maintaining & improving	Borough within Region. Borough's excellent strategic location on motorway network should be capitalised on to ensure the outside population's and the Borough's population's spending power is encouraged in the	Maintaining the Borough's main retail centres should not	along strategic transport routes, assessible to all.	providing higher housing numbers required by RSS but will require significant incursions into the Green Belt.	Development dispersed through each of 3 main centres is likely to lead to imbalance in relation to remainder of Borough & will raise infrastructure issues. Congestion needs to be addressed which option 1 will exacerbate. Demand for rail travel exceeds capacity at peak times, car is chosen to access main centres, so option 3 + reliance on rail to relieve congestion is unrealistic. Option 2 provides sufficient housing numbers in balanced way to meet likely RSS requirement, is most realistic solution to congestion issue & requires less incursion into Green Belt.
39				Pleased to see policy principle seeking to minimise carbon emissions. Widen policy principle on carbon emissions to encompass a broader 'Mitigating and adapting to climate change' principle. Policy for 'Protecting and delivering quality in the built environment' should include the natural environment as well.		Would like to see protection for Ancient and Veteran Trees and accessible new woods close to where people live.
40						SMBC needs to take stock of empty houses & bring them back into use. Object to further expansion of Dickens Heath. Allow for changing employment trends e.g. automotive and related sectors.
41	Mostly right key issues. Rural areas and farmers needs need to be addressed including protection of Green Belt for farming.	No mention of farming and needs of rural areas. Need to mention farming and needs of rural areas.	Green Belt does not mention needs of businesses and conservation. Promotion of the rural community. Need to promote the needs of rural community and maintain and enhance the Green Belt.	of Green Belt is dependent on workers, particularly farmers. Promotion of the rural community needs to be addressed as well as success of rural		

Resp.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
42	Right key issues. Infrastructure requirements need to be considered. All areas of Borough to have long term plan regardless of whether they are identified for housing growth.	Commitment to ensure delivery in all areas not just Regeneration Zone.	Sport strategy not included.	Right policy principles. Inclusion of a Sports Strategy is missed but no changes needed.	, , ,	Involve Parish Councils and residents associations in decision making process.
43	Right key issues.		Right objectives.	Right policy principles.	No consideration should be given to development on green spaces. Need to protect and enhance public open space.	Housing in some areas of North Solihull Regeneration Zone going ahead despite residents opposition.
44	Right key issues. Problem of waste & recycling. Recognition of permissible development in Green Belt - minerals.			Right policy principles. Mineral resources should not be included within managing waste.	Option 1 favoured. Recognition that certain development can only be undertaken in the Green Belt e.g. minerals.	
45	Right key issues. Too focused on the urban area to the exclusion of rural areas. Insufficient attention to infrastructure, particularly transport.	Too concentrated on the Regeneration Zone.	Right objectives. Too much concentration on urban areas. Transport needs more coverage, particularly rail.		Common.	Support increase in size of Balsall Common subject to infrastructure improvements put in at the outset.
46						Protect and respect Green Belt.
47			housing provision targets.	energy requirements will influence viability and development and incur significant costs.	support rural areas. Option 2 - Would have implications for design and character. Needs to focus on rail as well as bus. Option 3 - Agree with dispersal of growth but this does not go far enough.	
48	, , , , ,	international links via BIA		Right policy principles.	Option 2 preferred but overemphasis on bus routes, not enough on rail.	Policy principles are appropriate but Council does not always successfully apply them.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
49	Right key issues but need facilities for healthy exercise.			Policy for health and exercise required.	Knowle and development in East of Borough is missing from the Options.	Pilot study on community leisure facilities and car parking availability will help to feed into the key issues.
50		· ·	Objectives not right. Need to look at what people really need to live in the area.		No preference for any Option as Castle Bromwich is not mentioned.	
	Key issues not quite right. Replacing public open spaces lost to development by releasing Green Belt land for recreational purposes is needed.	Need use of more Green Belt land round	Need more selective use of Green Belt land for housing .	Policy Principles not correct. Options only for release of Green Belt land in North Solihull. There should also be controlled growth in South Solihull on Green Belt land.	between Knowle & Dorridge, Bentley Heath/Four Ashes - ideally placed for transport. As well as land for housing at Widney Manor.	Bentley Heath/Widney Manor area has excellent public transport service as well as 2 train stations which will help to cut carbon emissions.
52						Consideration of new locations for gypsy and traveller sites. More consideration for primary health care facilities for elderly population. Green transport options for school children (cycle routes, "yellow bus" and walking routes).
53						Consideration should be given to self-build housing, especially in North Solihull.
54	Letter reserving right to comment.					
55	Letter of no comment.					
56	Letter of no comment.					
57	Letter of no comment.					
58					Object to Option 3. Impact on Green Belt, expansion not sustainable and infrastructure will not cope.	Should utilise brownfield sites in Balsall Common before Green Belt.
59	Need to include crime and community safety as a key issue.	safety , vulnerable groups and access to	public transport, green infrastructure and places to go and things to do related objectives.	Refer to marginalised groups in the principle which seeks to direct new employment to where it is most needed. Also add "safe" to the Town Centres principle.		Issues of community safety and crime reduction should be referred to throughout the document.
60	Right key issues, no changes required.	Right Vision, no changes suggested.		Right policy principles, no changes required.		Respondent questions whether Dorridge has been considered for development.
61		- aggress an	January Grant Company	and the second s		Wishes to see wind turbines incorporated in developments.
62						Support enhancement of canals for leisure, tourism, healthy lifestyles and sustainable use. Use of canal towpaths to help meet Core Strategy objectives. Demand for marinas and role of residential moorings.
63	Broadly agree with issues. Need to consider policies to support a variety of businesses, not just the ones identified.	Supports Vision.	broadened, objective S should be stronger.	Employment policies should be stronger and broader. Employment principle should support growth in North of Borough and give equal focus to South. Need to ensure that all types of businesses are supported (not just the regionally important ones).	However, Green Belt extension should be a last resort.	Combining elements of each Option, with a focus on Option 2 provides the greatest positive opportunities. Land at The Green, Shirley is promoted.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
64	Key issues omit RSS housing targets and review of Green Belt boundary.		proposed for Objective M to allow for Green Belt boundary review to meet RSS development requirements.	efficient use of land, especially close to public transport links.	features of the other Options. Option 2 should give greater emphasis to rail corridors.	Need to refer to much higher levels of housing. Need to review Green Belt boundaries.
65	Key issues are appropriate. More reference needed to the Borough's advantageous location in the context of the motorway network. New key issue to ensure adequate roadside services.	changes required.	objective to 'retain an effective Green Belt' is inconsistent with Options 2 and 3. Need reference	that Green Belt releases	Preference for Option 3 with reservations about deliverability. Need to include the possibility of a new settlement within the Borough. Option 1 not realistic in terms of providing for higher housing numbers. Option 2 deliverability questioned also the impact of higher density development.	
66	Right key issues. Housing should be in planned locations - expand existing settlements (e.g., Dickens Heath) . KI20 should include some slight additions to the wording.	asset) to first bullet regarding economic success.	housing should be provided ' <i>in the right</i>	Right policy principles. None missed. Housing should be in right locations and on deliverable sites.		It is not sufficient for sites to be sustainable, they also need to be deliverable.
67	Letter of no comment					Supports "managing waste".
68	Right key issues.	building on economic success and supporting key assets.	objective D, priority should be given to development & commitment of public transport.	Broaden "meeting employment needs" to "supporting business and meeting employment needs" to align with objective D.	benefits of continued development at BIA.	Should be mindful of Airport Master Plan and noise exposure categories for residential development.
69	facilities needs to be addressed.	Vision. Enhancement of rural settlements needs to be added to the Vision.	include an objective to support rural facilities, including rural housing issues.	Generally right policy principles. Need to include principles which refer to country towns and villages and rural housing and rural facilities.	support local facilities.	Document focuses on choices for the urban area whilst ignoring real rural problems. Should consider rural housing and rural facilities and services.
70		Right Vision, but should look beyond urban area. Role of rural area should be considered in more detail.	urban oriented. Role of Green Belt and	Need to widen scope. Green Belt principles have been missed and reassessing role of the Green Belt should be included.	All credible & viable. Expansion of Dickens Heath has been missed as an Option. Concern that Option 2 could lead to ribbon development.	Look at sustainable options outside urban areas. Assess quality and role of Green Belt.
71	Right key issues, but totally concentrated on urban areas. Needs of rural communities and businesses. Include issues related to rural areas.	Right Vision, but totally urban oriented. Need a strategy for rural areas and the Green Belt.	areas. Need an objective		I	Document responds to current urban challenges, but needs to widen scope to include rural areas, villages and needs of users on M42 to stop and rest.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
72	Generally the right key issues. Rural housing and rural facilities need to be added and village expansion to support services.	Vision not comprehensive. Review of Green Belt needed.	comprehensive. Need to include an objective to support rural communities and growth		1 ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	Further development at Dickens Heath to bolster local economy and support town centre facilities.
73	Generally the right key issues. Longer term employment issues have been missed. Need to include employment base and economy as a key issue.	omissions. Need to include opportunities for	omissions. Need to include quality and purposes of Green Belt	Right policy principles. Need to cover employment needs, new allocations and growth areas.	sequential approach (PPS3). Favour urban extensions into the Green Belt.	Extend sequential approach to rural settlements. Provision of low cost/social housing on exception sites on settlement edges. Long term employment allocations.
74	Generally the right key issues but urban emphasis. Need to review Green Belt boundaries. Need to add rural issues.	focused. Needs to be a Vision for rural areas.	Objectives. Rural housing, transport and facilities need to be added as well as	Generally the right policy principles. Need to review Green Belt policy principles and need a policy to allow rural housing.	outside town boundary. Option 2 is too transport oriented.	Document does not consider rural issues which are different to urban issues. Rural housing needs study and new Green Belt assessment is required.
75	Not right key issues. Need to preserve Meriden Gap; meet needs of rural east of Borough; support retention & creation of employment; co-locate housing, employment and retail; reduce flooding; provide affordable housing.	"Solihull in 2018" is an oxymoron; No measures of success or responsibility for 2026; Wrong to describe borough as location of choice. Regeneration is	environment; Protect Green Belt; Reduce flood risk. Include measurable targets; Transport infrastructure; Housing provision to	Policy Principles not correct. Missed principles include decentralisation of services, transport infrastructure, green environment. Need to address Green Belt erosion in North Solihull.		Options 2 & 3 depend on unrealistic transport infrastructure and employment.
	Right key issues but need affordable housing in ALL rural areas.	Right Vision.	need affordable housing in ALL rural areas.	Right policy principles but need affordable housing in ALL rural areas.		Need an explicit reference to affordable housing and rural exception sites, including in Green Belt.
77 78	Not enough reference to the needs of an ageing population.				Should utilise brownfield land and release green field sites to enable a range of house types and densities to be built without losing the character of existing settlements. Balsall Common has potential for growth but this should not be limited to the east of the town. Option 1 has no merit and will continue to damage urban character and put pressure on existing infrastructure. Option 3 - location should not just be guided by rail infrastructure. Need to also look at settlements which have capacity in terms of local services.	Does not support further expansion of Dickens Heath.
79	Little mention of waste management facilities and cross boundary movement.				WCC Transport Planning Group support Option 1 and Option 2. Option 3 growth in town centres and Marston Green, Olton and Dickens Heath is supported. Concern expressed regarding Balsall Common expansion due to lack of retail and leisure facilities and limited public transport offer. Enhancements to the rail station will be required including increased parking and pedestrian and cycle links.	

Resp.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
80	Some key issues require further elaboration. There is a lack of further development identified within areas other than North Solihull. Concern about Green Belt loss in the north of the Borough. Concern about parking issues around the railway station in Marston Green.	key issue concerns		Definitely not correct. Most statements are contradictory, heavily weighted around railway, too many demands on Marston Green station, overdevelopment of Marston Green.	Elements of all 3 Options are acceptable, but none which address all concerns completely. Should consider affordable housing in South Solihull. Development should be spread across whole Borough. Too weighted around railway stations. No mention of Dorridge or Hampton-in-Arden sharing the load. Over reliance on the north of the Borough in all 3 Options.	Generally objects to development in Marston Green.
81	identified but poor north to south public transport		Right objectives, but 'congestion' objective needs to target reduction of cost to business and individuals. Need to promote Solihull as an international destination using strengths of NEC and BIA. Appendix 2 on p37 needs to provide specific targets rather than a wish list.	performance management /reporting.	Option 3 preferred. No options missed. Option 1 is essentially 'no change'. Option 2 is a slight improvement but polarises transport into already congested corridors, Option 3 gives greatest level of ambition. Object to unecessary loss of significant Green Belt but believe that it will be necessary to develop on parts of 'lower grade' Green Belt in order to maintain the economic competitiveness of the Region.	Support RSS housing recommendations but not NLP report. Would welcome greater emphasis on impact of key employers in Borough.
82	relating to tourism, culture and recreation/leisure.	Agree in principle with the Vision but length and detail may alienate individuals and groups of businesses from contributing. Vision needs to be more concise with an appropriate set of aims and objectives.	sufficient emphasis on	No comments.	Option 3 preferable but there are reservations as it does not meet the needs of all residents. Options 1 and 2 fail to meet needs of rural communities. Missed Option would be development at Knowle and Dorridge.	Evidence base still required. Site allocations required before preferred option consultation. Concern about tourism and recreation.
83	Not enough emphasis on community safety. This should be an issue in its own right.	No specific comments.	Not enough emphasis on community safety. This needs to be an objective in its own right.	community safety and		
84					Option which includes Knowle and Dorridge has been missed.	Should recognise potential of Knowle/Dorridge to provide housing. Identifies land for possible development at Four Ashes Road.
85				exceptions sites for affordable housing in the Green Belt.	Smaller extensions around the fringes of both urban and rural settlements should be considered before significant urban extensions. Support expansion of Balsall Common but disappointed that rural settlements have been missed as growth locations. Concern about major urban extensions proposed. Disagree with exclusion of Knowle and Dorridge.	

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
86	issues. Promote regional	bullet should not be North Solihull specific.		Right policy principles. None missed.	Option 3 preferred but incorporating elements of 1 & 2. No options missed. Options 1 & 2 are impractical on their own.	Identifies land for possible development at Rumbush Lane.
87					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Focussing development on Dickens Heath would be contrary to
88					in Option 3. Focussing development where there is good transport infrastructure does not justify high density development in congested areas of Shirley and Solihull	principle of maintaining an effective Green Belt. Further growth should not be in the centres of Shirley and Solihull.
89	of objectives. Recognise need to review Green Belt and safeguard future of BBP and adjoining assets - NEC	Vision not right should emphasise employment and economic growth. Should be more specific in linkages between economic polices for North Solihull and Grenn Belt review; More policies to expedite regeneration.	missed. Recognise review of Green Belt	economic growth, Green Belt review and	Parts of 1 & 2 are preferred. No options missed. Supports development in buffer zone of BBP. General focus on housing but need to address employment (jobs and land). Opposes urban extension north and west of Chester Road (Option 3).	Repondent refers to Birmingham Business Park.
90	Two new key issues needed - maintain flexible responsive supply of land for housing and achieving high quality housing. Meeting development requirements whilst addressing planning constraints should acknowledge the importance of meeting RSS housing requirements that are likely to result in the release of Green Belt land.	Vision supported.	Supports objectives.		A further option is needed to accommodate higher growth levels than those in the presented options. This should focus early development in the urban area; outside the Green Belt at larger settlements, in public transport corridors and phased urban extensions. None of the options provide enough housing (but elements of each could provide the basis of a further option).	Identifies 'white Land' for possible development at Knowle.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
91	Two new key issues needed: - maintain flexible responsive supply of land for housing and achieving high quality housing. Meeting development requirements whilst addressing planning constraints should acknowledge the importance of meeting RSS housing requirements that are likely to result in the release of Green Belt land.		Right objectives.	Need for flexibility to deliver development required by RSS.	A further option is needed to accommodate higher growth levels than those in the presented options. This should focus early development in the urban area; outside the Green Belt at larger settlements, in public transport corridors and phased urban extensions. None of the options provide enough housing (but elements of each could provide the basis of a further option).	Identifies land for possible development at Aqueduct Road.
92		Doesn't recognise need to provide for growth in a sustainable way. No reference of need for Solihull to grow/provide homes in a range and type of tenure.			Supports Option 1 because it directs development to main urban areas and the development of 3 long-term housing sites in the urban areas.	Identifies a long term housing site for possible development. Considers Knowle and Dorridge should not be omitted from options. Does not favour new settlements.
93					Support option 1.	Seeks to prioritise the redevelopment of previously developed sites in highly accessible locations in major urban areas.
94				Policy principles should prioritise the use of safeguarded land (in the UDP) before using Green Belt land for development.	Strategy needed based on higher densities near main centres, urban extensions north and east, expansion of Balsall Common and development of safeguarded land (UDP). Presented options do not provide for enough housing development. Should not dismiss 'excluded' villages as growth locations.	Identifies safeguarded land at Cheswick Green/Tidbury Green for possible development.
95					Proposes an option combining all 3 presented options together with long term housing sites (in UDP), other favoured sites and land near Chelmsley Wood, East of Damson Parkway, Sheldon, and East of Solihull Town Centre. Presented options do not provide for enough housing development.	Identifies land for possible development at Bickenhill Road and elsewhere.
96						Identifies land for possible development east of Solihull Town Centre and elsewhere.
97					Proposes an option combining all 3 presented options together with long term housing sites (in UDP), other favoured sites and land near Chelmsley Wood, East of Damson Parkway, Sheldon, and East of Solihull Town Centre. Presented options do not provide for enough housing development.	Identifies land for possible development at Damson Parkway and elsewhere.
98						Identifies land for possible development east of Balsall Common and elsewhere.
99					Proposes an option combining all 3 presented options together with	Identifies land for possible development west of Balsall Common and elsewhere.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
100		Vision generally supported.	Right objectives.	Right policy principles. Over-arching policy principle needed to commit to achieving sustainable patterns of development leading to improvements in social, economic and environmental characteristics of Solihull. Principles should encourage use of previously developed land/premises.	Combination of options 1 and 2 preferred.	Identifies land for possible development near Solihull Town Centre.
101				idita/premises.		Core Strategy should identify network of centres, place them in a retail hierarchy. Should enhance accessibility of, quality and variety of all centres within the hierarchy. Should plan how best to distribute growth to achieve stated objectives.
102	Add health of residents - young and old.	Right Vision.	Right objectives. Improve health, fitness and quality of life for all.	Right policy principles. Promote healthier lifestyles. Target traffic reduction measures and promote cycling.	Options 1 & 2 preferred. Cluster development at Balsall Common too remote and will encourage car use.	Seeks to promote cycling and the ability of cycling to make an important contribution to improved environment.
103		In general agreement ('difficult to disagree').			Option 1 (subject to analysis of impact of options) preferred.	Seeks to promote sustainable development principles and address inequalities in the Borough.
104		The contribution of cycling to accessibility without reliance on the car should be strongly reflected in the Vision and principles.		The contribution of cycling to accessibility without reliance on the car should be strongly reflected in the Vision and principles.	Favours development in existing centres. Opposes option 3 if clusters are in rural areas.	Seeks to promote cycling as a mode of transport and for its contribution to promoting sustainable development principles.
105	Right key issues.					Seeks to protect the Green Belt in the Marston Green area and to alleviate traffic problems in the vicinity of Marston Green Station.
106	Letter of no comment.					Reminds the Council of Daw Mill mining operations that will extend beneath part of Solihull.
107			Include objective relating to affordable housing.			Document highlights north/south issues and takes into consideration other strategies and demonstrates some flexibility. Level of flexibility may need review as RSS process moves forward. Should reflect more prominently national indicator NI188. AWM corridor study not mentioned.
108						Seeks to enable warehouse clubs on business sites and asks that the Core Strategy includes a broad enough definition of business development to include them.
109	Should reflect the need to broaden the appeal of town centres to a broad range of ages and social groups.		Strengthen 'Ensure a range of places to go and things to do' by being more specific on enhancing existing facilities and providing for new ones where appropriate.		Option 3 preferred.	Seeks to promote participation in cultural activity, meet future community needs for cultural facilities and protect existing facilities. Core Strategy should reflect and facilitate these aims.
110					No preference for the options. Presented options are limited and don't include all realistic options. Options do not provide enough housing development. Knowle/Dorridge should not have been omitted from the options.	Identifies land for possible development east of Knowle.

Resp No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
111					Options do not provide enough housing development . Knowle/Dorridge should not have been omitted from the options.	Identifies land for possible development near Knowle.
112				Greater provision for first class sport facilities required. Need to safeguard and increase provision of land for modern industrial manufacturing. Need to move away from speculative office schemes.	Concern about provision of areas of open space.	Seeks greater provision for sport and recreation across the Borough and to safeguard and increase land for modern industry. Concerned that open space will be lost to housing and no new open space will be created.
113	that Green Belt should	national aims on global ecological /environmental issues such as global warming.	encourages development in locations well served by public transport and/or locations where good public transport can be provided/sustained. Discourage development in locations hard to access by public transport and where it would be difficult to provide or sustain. Improve accessibility - add 'education' as named trip end and 'by non car modes of travel' Reduce	with neighbouring districts. Key principle should be to improve mobility/accessibility for non car users and to prioritise commercial and public service movement over private transport where highway capacity is scarce. Aiming for no gap of inequality for	Option 1 (followed by option 2) is preferred. Suggest a a mix of options 1 and 2 that identifies growth nodes for intensive development at the junction of key corridors. Favours focussing development in locations well served by public transport. Opposes option 3 because clusters are too dispersed and not so easily served by public transport.	Keen to make further contact with the Council and others to promote sustainable development patterns.

Resp.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
114	Should separately identify & emphasise provision/safeguarding of minerals and commitment to meet regional apportionment for aggregates. Development & beneficial use of brownfield sites should be key issue. Amend key issues to raise importance of contribution of minerals to employment/wealth generation.		objective for development/ safeguarding of finite mineral reserves.	Minerals not appropriate in 'Managing Waste' section. Approach to minerals supported but should be expanded. Should include biodiversity potential and potential of restored mineral sites for Green Belt development. Mineral development is compatible with Green Belt development. Should be greater emphasis on using restored mineral workings rather than new Green Belt land.		
115					Option 2 favoured because it focuses development in main urban areas, along key public transport routes and includes an accessible urban extension.	Urban extensions should be accepted where they are close to public transport and facilities.
116	The key issues should acknowledge demographic trends and the need for more elderly care developments that should be planned for and allocated through the LDF.	Vision is right.	reflect the need for developments that serve	need to develop Green Belt sites to accommodate growth in appropriate locations.	Option 3 favoured. It focuses development in main urban areas, around railway stations and in larger settlements, including Balsall Common.	Identifies land for possible development near Balsall Common.
117	Right key issues. Should also identify funding and delivery of transport interventions needed to support future growth and development.	Vision is right.		Include a transport and access policy principle which embraces managing travel behaviour and transport demand, encourages efficient use of transport infrastructure, enables infrastructure and interventions needed to support communities and promotes economic growth without growth in travel.		Reserves position on merits of options but keen to work with the Council on transport issues.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
118	rejuvenate town centres, taking account of market conditions'.	Vision to: 'Meeting the needs of its increasingly diverse residents and businesses, for housing, retail, local services, leisure and culture, education, skills and opportunities, in a sustainable and fair way.' Amend bullet 4 to; 'Accessible and able to support a well connected, well managed and integrated transport system that provides a realistic, safe, accessible and attractive alternative to the private car, particularly in terms of public transport connections where this is	Objective A (appendix 2) demand should be included as factor influencing location of development. Objective E Low carbon development needs to be practical/viable. Objective F affordable housing should be based on need i.e. number, size, type and location. Objective I should not presume to retain employment sites that are long -term vacant. Objective L should refer to need to consider other key principles outlined. Objective N should refer	any targets for renewable energy must be reasonable/viable and flexible. Should not be blanket retention of employment sites. Consideration should be given to size and location of residential units to reflect housing needs. Should support mixed use development in town centres.		
119						Identification of sustainable locations on the West Coast Mainline takes no account of services. Marston Green is unsuitable for high-density development and already has a good supply of starter homes. A large part of its green heritage has been lost through development of Chelmsley Wood. Green Belt release southeast of Marston Green would damage the Meriden gap. Dorridge has a good train service, shopping facilities and little affordable housing. Bickenhill village has infill capacity and is in need of community enhancement and is within easy walking distance of rail and bus services. Hampton-in-Arden has infill capacity, main line facilities but limited bus services.
120						Generally welcomes the document and offers advice on development of the Core Strategy to support the economy, meet needs sustainably and support regeneration.
121	Group key issues on topic basis to make document more meaningful & help determine any policy gaps.	Right Vision.	Objectives should be categorised & linked to key issues.	Carbon target should be in line with national standards or else be reasonable/realistic. Green Belt should be used after exhausting sequential testing.		Building for Life' criteria should be prerequisite for all new developments. Caution on promoting high density development. Provision of affordable housing paramount in considering revised RSS & use of Green Belt land for residential development.
122						Suggest a variety of additions to the document. Main points - need to place the strategy in the context of projections of future economic growth potential and demand for employment land/premises. Need to ensure Solihull's attractiveness as an investment location is strengthened. Focus of north Solihull should be strengthened in terms of developing economic infrastructure and linking to key employment locations outside the area.

Resp. No.	KEY ISSUES	VISION	OBJECTIVES	POLICY PRINCIPLES	GROWTH OPTIONS	OTHER COMMENTS
123					Option 1 preferred. Option 3 could have an adverse impact on Meriden shops and services.	Disagrees that Meriden would need significant growth to ensure that it could develop in a sustainable way (P29).
124	themes. Additional key issue needed is impact of new development on	Public transport connections should relate to all areas of Borough (4th bullet of Vision).		Need to ensure that remote villages have basic facilities/services to be sustainable. Also need to reduce inequality.	Option 1 preferred and oppose Option 3.	Document doesn't reflect 'truly rural' character of Meriden/Millisons Wood. Any new housing in Meriden Parish must maintain village/rural character of Parish and social infrastructure and services must be improved. Connections with other strategies and plans needs to be made clear. An equality impact assessment should be available for each option.
125					Option 1 or Option 3 preferred. If urban extensions are advocated in the emerging strategy these should be at locations with good public transport, preferably rail.	
126	incorporate green infrastructure. Also, protect character and function of landscape. Need to address flood risk, pressures on natural resources and threats to biodiversity.	to reducing carbon footprint in accommodating development. Also protecting and enhancing biodiversity, natural beauty, water	specific objectives relating to improvements to infrastructure and services, minimising	minimal impact on the natural environment and deliver maximum benefits. Changes suggested include commitment to protecting/enhancing the	Options do not adequately state how they will address the need for accessible green space, protection of the natural environment and provision of green infrastructure.	Raises a variety of questions and considerations that will need to be addressed further during the LDF process.
127					Option 3 preferred. Option 1: preferable for most local residents - protects Green Belt but insufficient additional dwellings for economic growth/affordable housing. Option 2: a failed compromise. Option 3: negative elements of concern, but provides best opportunity of benefiting residential and business communities.	
128	Key issues are the right ones but need to add tourism and provision of sufficient visitor accommodation for business people and people using BIA/NEC.	-	Right objectives but need something on ensuring a good supply of visitor accommodation (NEC and Airport).	but need to include something on visitor	Option 2 preferred.	